Faster Arithmetic over Multiple Algebraic Extensions

Cory Ahn, Michael Monagan (CECM, Simon Fraser University)

Motivation

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_t]/\langle m_1, \dots, m_t \rangle$ be a number field with t > 1extensions.

How should we perform arithmetic over K? (ex. multiply $f, g \in K[x]$)?

Overview of Strategy

- 1. Find a primitive element $\gamma = c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + c_t\alpha_t$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t)$ satisfying $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$, where $c_1, \cdots, c_t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the minimal polynomial for γ , $m_{\gamma}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$
- 2. Express α_i 's as elements in $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma), 1 \leq i \leq t$.
- 3. Perform arithmetic in $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$.
- 4. Convert the result back to $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t)$.

In this poster, we only consider the case of t = 2. This idea is easily generalized to arbitrarily (finitely) many extensions. Moreover, for efficiency purposes we map the coefficient field \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z}_p , for an appropriate primes p and perform arithmetic over \mathbb{Z}_p , then convert the solution back to K using rational number reconstruction [3].

Example

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x, y]/\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle$ where $m_1(y) = y^2 - 2$ and $m_2(x, y) = x^2 - 3$ are minimal polynomials for α and β respectively. Let p = 17 and let

 $r(x) = \operatorname{res}_y(m_2(x - 1 \cdot y, y), m_1(y)) = x^4 + 7x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x].$

Since r(x) is square-free, $\mathbb{Z}_p(\alpha,\beta) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p(\gamma = \beta + 1 \cdot \alpha) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\langle r(x) \rangle$ and $r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\langle r(x) \rangle$ $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is the minimal polynomial (mod p) for γ . Furthermore, let

 $G := \gcd(m_2(\gamma - 1 \cdot y, y), m_1(y)) = \gcd(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma y + 1, y^2 - 2) = y + 8\gamma^3 + 13\gamma.$

Thus $\alpha(\gamma) = y - G = -8\gamma^3 + 13\gamma$ and $\beta(\gamma) = \gamma - 1 \cdot \alpha(\gamma) = 8\gamma^3 + 14\gamma$.

Now we can work over one extension $K(\gamma)$ rather than in two extensions $K(\alpha, \beta)$.

Step 1: Finding a Primitive Element using Resultants

In what follows we let K be a field of characteristic 0 and let $m_1(x) \in K[x]$ and $m_2(x) \in K(\alpha)[x]$ be the minimal polynomials for α and β respectively.

Lemma 1. Let $f, g \in K[x, y]$. The **resultant** of f and g with respect to y, denoted by $res_y(f,g)$, is the polynomial r in K[x] that satisfies

$$r(\alpha) = 0 \iff \gcd(f(\alpha, y), g(\alpha, y)) \neq 1.$$

Definition 2. Let $f \in K[x] \setminus \{0\}$. We say that f is square-free iff $\operatorname{res}_x(f, f') \neq 0$.

To find a **primitive element** γ satisfying $K(\alpha, \beta) \cong K(\gamma)$, we utilize Lemma 2:

Lemma 2 [1]. Let the field be $K(\alpha, \beta) = K[x, y]/\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle$. If $m_2(x, \alpha) \in K(\alpha)[x]$ is square-free, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$r(x) := \operatorname{res}_y(m_2(x - \mathbf{c} \cdot y), m_1(y)) \in K[x]$$

is square-free. Furthermore, r(x) is the minimal polynomial for a primitive element $\gamma = \beta + \mathbf{c} \cdot \alpha$ of $K(\alpha, \beta)$ so that $K(\alpha, \beta) \cong K(\gamma) = K[x]/\langle r(x) \rangle$.

Let us call $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ which produces a non-square-free res $_y(m_2(x - cy), m_1(y))$ unlucky. One can characterize the number of unlucky $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

Lemma 3. Let $r(x) = \operatorname{res}_y(m_2(x - c \cdot y), m_1(y)) \in K[x]$ be as in Lemma 2. An element $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ is unlucky iff it is a root of

 $\operatorname{res}_x(r(x), r'(x)) \in K[c].$

One can express the number of unlucky c's in terms of the degrees of the minimal polynomials as follows.

Lemma 4. Let $d_1 = \deg_y(m_1)$ & $d_2 = \deg_x(m_2)$. The # of unlucky $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ is at most $\left[d_1^2 d_2 (d_2 - 1) \right] / 2.$

By Lemma 2, to determine the minimal polynomial for a primitive element $\gamma = \beta + c \alpha$ we must compute the resultant of a <u>bivariate</u> $m_2(x - cy)$ and a <u>univariate</u> $m_1(y)$. For this we propose to use evaluation & interpolation in x at $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ... \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Evaluation & interpolation reduces the problem of computing a bivariate resultant to that of computing a series of univariate resultants of $m_2(\sigma_i - cy, y)$ and $m_1(y)$ over K. To compute the univariate resultants, we use *polynomial remainder sequences*:

Definition 3. Let R be a ring and $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{k+1}$ be polynomials in R[x]. Then $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{k+1}\}$ is a **Polynomial Remainder Sequence (PRS)** if and only if: • deg $(f_1) \ge$ deg (f_2) ,

- $f_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $f_{k+1} = 0$, and
- $f_i = a_i \cdot \text{prem}(f_{i-2}, f_{i-1})$ for i = 3, ..., k+1 and $a_i \in R$.

There are numerous types of PRS's. We will use the *subresultant PRS* (sPRS) [2]. The last non-zero polynomial of sPRS starting from $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ equals res $_x(f_1, f_2)$.

Step 2: Finding $\alpha(\gamma), \beta(\gamma) \in K(\gamma)$

To perform arithmetic in $K(\gamma)$, one must represent α and β as elements in $K(\gamma)$, which we denote by $\alpha(\gamma)$ and $\beta(\gamma)$, respectively. For this we use the following lemma.

Lemma 5 [1]. Let $g(x,y) = m_2(x - c \cdot y, y)$ be square-free and let $\gamma = \beta + c \cdot \alpha$ (note that γ is a root of $g(x, \alpha)$). Then

 $G(\gamma, y) = \gcd(g(\gamma, y), m_1(y)) = y - \alpha(\gamma) \in K(\gamma)[y].$

Moreover, $\beta(\gamma) = \gamma - c \cdot \alpha(\gamma) \in K(\gamma)$.

Thus to obtain $\alpha(\gamma)$ and $\beta(\gamma)$ one could compute a gcd over $K(\gamma)$. For efficiency, we instead propose to use the sPRS's computed in Step 1 as follows.

- 1. Obtain $\deg_u(m_1) \cdot \deg_x(m_2)$ next-to-last polynomials appearing in the sPRS starting from $m_2(\beta - cy, y)$ and $m_1(y)$, which are linear in y.
- 2. Interpolate polynomials in step 1 to get $G(x, y) \in K[y][x]$.
- 3. Solve $G(x = \gamma, y) = 0$ to obtain $\alpha(\gamma)$.
- 4. Find $\beta(\gamma)$ using the formula $\gamma c\alpha(\gamma)$.

Recall that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x, y]/\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle$. One can show that all the above lemmas apply to the ring $\Phi_p(K) = \mathbb{Z}_p[x, y]/\langle m_1 \mod p, m_2 \mod p \rangle$ as long as p is "appropriately" chosen and no zero divisors are encountered during computation.

Unfortunately, not all elements in \mathbb{Z}_p can be used as evaluation points:





Bad and Unlucky Evaluation points

decrease the degree of y in m_2 (called **bad evaluation points**).

We provide two example cases in which unlucky evaluation points are encountered.

 $f_1(x, y) = m_1(y) = y^3 - 2y^2 - 1, \ f_2(x, y) = g(x, y) = x^2 - 5xy^2 - x + 4,$ $f_3(x,y)=(5x^3+12x^2+3x)y+7x^3+2x^2+11x,$ $f_4(x, y) = x^6 + 11 x^5 + 6 x^4 + 8 x^3 + 7 x^2 + 6 x + 13, \ f_5(x, y) = 0.$ $\hat{f}_1(y) = y^3 + 15 y^2 + 10, \ \hat{f}_2(y) = 4y^2, \ \hat{f}_3(y) = 13, \ \hat{f}_4(y) = 0.$ $f_1(x, y) = m_1(y) = y^4 + 15 + 11 y^2$, $f_2(x, y) = g(x, y) = x^3 + 8 yx + 15 y^3$, $f_3(x, y) = (10 + 16x)y^2 + 2x^3y + 9,$ $f_4(x,y) = (15x^6 + 11 + 2x^3 + 11x^2)y + 13x^5 + 12x^4 + 16x^3,$ $f_5(x, y) = x^{12} + 8 + 7x^8 + 5x^7 + 12x^6 + 2x^4 + 11x^3 + 4x^2 + 5x, f_6(x, y) = 0.$ $\hat{f}_1(y) = m_1(y), \ \hat{f}_2(y) = 15y^3 + 12y + 14, \ \hat{f}_3(y) = \mathbf{11y} + \mathbf{9}, \ \hat{f}_4(y) = 11, \ \hat{f}_5(y) = 0.$

Ex 1. The sPRS starting from $m_1(y) = y^3 - 2y^2 - 1$ and $g(x, y) = x^2 - 5xy^2 - x + 4$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{17}[x]$ is: On the other hand, the sPRS starting from $m_1(y)$ and g(x = 6, y) is: The next-to-last polynomial \hat{f}_2 is not linear and is not equal to $f_3(x=6,y)$. **Ex 2.** The sPRS starting with $m_1(y) = y^4 + 15 + 11 y^2$ and $g(x, y) = x^3 + 8 yx + 15 y^3$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{17}[x]$ is: On the other hand, the sPRS starting with $m_1(y)$ and g(x = 10, y) is: The next-to-last polynomial is linear, but corresponds to the degree 2 polynomial, f_3 .

Theorem 1. Let $d_1 = \deg_u(m_1)$ and $d_2 = \deg_x(m_2)$. The number of bad evaluation points in \mathbb{Z} is at most d_2 . The number of unlucky evaluation points in \mathbb{Z} is at most $d_2d_1(d_1+1)/2$.

1. Compute sPRS using the next evaluation point. Let S = (# polynomials in sPRS). 2. a) If S < k, discard current sPRS. b) If S > k, discard all previous sPRS's. Set k to S.

- c) If S = k, keep the sPRS. Go to step 1.

Theorem 2. Let $d_1 = deg(m_1)$ and $d_2 = deg(m_2)$. The overall cost of computing the <u>resultant</u> over \mathbb{Z}_p and the gcd above over $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\langle m_{\gamma}(x) \mod p \rangle$ is

[Remark: if $d_1 \leq d_2$, this cost simplifies to $\mathcal{O}(d_1^2 d_2^2)$.]

In comparison, the costs of computing the resultant and the gcd using the Euclidean algorithm are $\mathcal{O}(d_1^4 d_2^2)$ each.





- (1) For the resultant computation, we must not choose any evaluation points that
- (2) For the gcd computation, we must also not choose evaluation points that decrease the degree of y in any polynomial in the sPRS (called **unlucky** evaluation points).

Theorem 1 implies that the number of unlucky evaluation points is "small". We do not know a priori the number of polynomials in the sPRS of $m_1(y)$ and g(x, y). Hence to detect an unlucky evaluation point, we proceed as follows. Let k = # of polynomials in the sPRS obtained using the first evaluation point.

Cost

 $\mathcal{O}\left(\left[d_1^3 d_2 + d_1^2 d_2^2\right] + d_1^4\right)$ arithmetic operations in \mathbb{Z}_p .

References

[1] Trager, B. Algebraic Factoring and Rational Function Integration. Proceedings of the 1976 ACM Symposium on Symbolic

[2] Collins, G.E. The calculation of multivariate polynomial resultants. J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 18 (1971), 515-532.

[3] Monagan, M. Maximal Quotient Rational Reconstruction: An Almost Optimal Algorithm for Rational Reconstruction.

and Algebraic Computation, 1976.

Proceedings of ISSAC '04, ACM Press, p. 243-249, 2004.